

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJcAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

FAO No.2224 of 2015

Date of decision: March 27, 2017

Jasbir Singh

... Appellant

Versus

Balwinder Singh alias Toti & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Ms. Minakshi Poswal, Advocate for the appellant.

Rajan Gupta, J.

Claimant has challenged the findings of the tribunal on the limited question of computation of compensation.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that tribunal has erred in not granting adequate compensation under usual heads like pain and suffering, loss of income, transportation, special diet etc. He, thus, submits that reasonable amount may be granted to the appellant under the aforesaid heads separately.

I have heard learned counsel for the appellant and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

It appears that accident took place on 26.3.2013, in which appellant suffered injuries. The tribunal held that accident had taken place on account of rash and negligent driving of the offending vehicle i.e. car No.HR-07J-3570, driven by respondent No.1. As a result of said accident, appellant suffered injuries on various parts of his body. The tribunal granted compensation to the appellant as under:-

Head		Amount
Treatment including medicines	:	₹ 35,494/-
Pain and suffering	:	₹ 5,000/-
Attendant charges	:	₹ 5,000/-
Special diet etc.	:	₹ 5,000/-
Transportation charges	:	₹ 5,000/-
Loss of future amenities	:	₹ 4,000/-
Total	:	₹ 59,494/-

Appellant has also been granted interest @ 7.5% per annum from the date of filing of petition till realization. I am of the considered view that there is no error in the impugned award. Thus, no interference in the appellate jurisdiction of this court is called for.

Dismissed.

March 27, 2017
'Rajpal'

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No