

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.4475 of 2017

Date of Decision: March 06, 2017

Manjushree Bansal and anotherPetitioners

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.**

Present: Mr.Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are allottees of apartments in the project developed by private-builders (respondent Nos.3 & 4) at Gwal Pahari, Sector-2, Gurgaon, known as "IREO GURGAON HILLS". Their grievance is that actual construction at the site is being done by the builders in total violation of the sanctioned building-plan and the ongoing construction is totally detrimental to the interest of the allottees. It is further alleged that what was promised to the petitioners is being completely over-looked. For example, the petitioners were represented that bare shell apartments having glass area on three sides and pool facing will be constructed, but the apartments are being constructed with 70% 'view' blocked which is contrary to the sanctioned building plan. The petitioners are said to have made complaints to the Director General, Town and Country Planning Department, Haryana, as well as other higher authorities, who in exercise of their statutory powers, have granted licence to respondent Nos.3 & 4. Finding no response to those complaints like dated 28.07.2016, 16.09.2016 and 12.12.2016 (P-11 to P-13, respectively), that the instant writ petition

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and considering the nature of grievances made by them but without expressing any views on merits of their claim, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Director General, Town and Country Planning Department, Haryana, to take cognizance of the complaints received from the petitioners and redress their grievances in accordance with the provisions of the Act/Rules and if need be, let the petitioners as well as representatives of respondent Nos.3 & 4 be heard before taking a decision by way of a reasoned order. Let the needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

March 06, 2017
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No