

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-4398-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.03.2017**

Bhaskar Nand and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Ankit Duggal, Advocate for
Mr.Gurbhajneek Singh Samra, Advocate for the petitioners

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioners retired from Nagar Council, Barnala. It is stated that their retiral benefits have not been released. Petitioners have already sent a representation dated 20.1.2017 (Annexure P2).

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.5 to consider the representation dated 20.1.2017 (Annexure P2) and if leave encashment and gratuity as claimed in the representation is found due to them and there is no legal impediment in releasing the same, the same shall be released to them forthwith, failing which, a speaking order shall be passed while denying the said benefits. Needful be done within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

06.03.2017
gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No