

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 25.04.2017

CWP No.4381 of 2017

Jatinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

No ground for interference is made out in the petition challenging the impugned suspension order in the scenario of contemplated disciplinary proceedings against the petitioner by the Bank. The writ is premature. Needless to say, the petitioner will have his ample say in the disciplinary proceedings to prove his innocence.

However, if there is inordinate and unreasonable delay in prolonging the suspension from service without initiating appropriate steps as per rules, that slackness may give cause the petitioner cause of action to approach Court for expedition through appropriate directions.

Besides, I have no doubt that the subsistence allowance admissible will be paid as per rules dot on time so as not to leave the petitioner high and dry.

The other cause which may furnish ground to the petitioner to approach court is if the charge-sheet is unduly delayed so as to cause prejudice to him furnishing ground to criticize the Bank of lack of bona

fides. It is expected that the Bank would act fairly, independently and dispassionately in the case against the petitioner free of any bias etc.

With these observations, the petition stands dismissed at this stage.

25.04.2017
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*