

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.435 of 2017

Date of Decision.13.01.2017

Saroop Kaur

.....Petitioner

Vs

Joint Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Rohtak and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Santosh K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is that she approached the respondent-Municipal Corporation for sanctioning of the site plan vide Annexure P-2 dated 09.02.2016 but no action has been taken so far despite the reminder given on 08.09.2016 (Annexure P-3) and submits that she will be satisfied if her representation/application under Annexure P-2 and P-3 is decided within a reasonable time.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merits and demerits of the case, direct respondent No.1 to consider the representation/application dated 09.02.2016 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of two months and shall pass a speaking order thereon in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands disposed of with above direction.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

January 13, 2017
Pankaj*

Whether reasoned/speaking Yes

Whether reportable No