

**110-b IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.4215 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 07, 2017**

Babu Singh and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-5314-CWP-2017

Application is allowed as prayed for.

The detail of gratuity received by the petitioners is taken on record.

Main case

A perusal of Annexure P-1 shows that the petitioners retired from service on different dates between the years 1995 to 2013. As per payment details of gratuity furnished, they were paid gratuity in the year 2016 without any interest. The petitioners claim interest on the delayed payment of gratuity. The petitioners has already served legal notice dated 29.11.2016 (Annexure P-3).

The short prayer at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the legal notice dated 29.11.2016 (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 29.11.2016 (Annexure P-3) within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 07, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No