

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(115)

CWP-4196-2017

Decided on: March 02, 2017.

M/s Bansal Traders

.... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 5 and 6 to settle the claims of the petitioner-miller in respect of 'B' class and 'C' class surplus gunny bags retained by the petitioner miller after custom milling of paddy stored by respondents No. 5 and 6 in the mill premises of the petitioner during the various crop years between 2006 to 2012 as per the terms of guidelines Annexure P-9 dated 24.05.2013.

In this context, the petitioner had issued a legal notice to the Managing Director, PUNGRAIN, Anaj Bhawan, Sector 39-C, Chandigarh and the District Manager, PUNGRAIN, District Office, Sangrur. Copy of the legal notice has been appended as Annexure P-19.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the opinion that the interest of justice would be adequately met in case a direction is issued to respondent No.5-Managing Director, PUNGRAIN, Chandigarh to consider the legal notice Annexure P-19 by passing a speaking order within a period of three months after the receipt of certified

copy of this order. In case, the legal notice has not been received in the office of Managing Director, PUNGRAIN, Chandigarh, it will be the duty of the petitioner to ensure that the order of this Court along with copy of legal notice and writ petition be communicated in the Office of Managing Director, PUNGRAIN, Chandigarh.

Disposed of with above observation.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

March 02, 2017

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No