

**257 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9934 of 2016

Date of decision: July 18, 2017

Parvinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Pranav Handa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab with
Mr. Inderjit Singh, Director Public Instructions
(Elementary), Punjab and
Mr. Sukhdev Kumar, BPEO, East-2, Jalandhar.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the revised pension of the petitioner and arrears on account thereof in terms of respondent No.3 letter (Annexure P-1) and respondent No.4 letter dated 30.07.2014 (Annexure P-2) along with 15% interest with further prayer to re-fix the pension after granting her benefit of three increments in view of higher qualification i.e. Giani and to refund the amount Rs.65,462/- deducted from her retiral benefit.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Inderjit Singh, DPI (EE), Punjab, has submitted that in compliance of order dated 16th November, 2016, revised gratuity, pension and arrears of leave encashment besides refund or some recovery have already been released in favour of the petitioner.

In view of release/disbursement of the aforesaid emoluments by the respondents, the instant petition stands infructuous and same is disposed of accordingly. However, petitioner shall be at liberty to approach this Court as well as the departmental authorities in case she still feels aggrieved either with regard to amount released in her favour or on account of interest.

July 18, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No