Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2017.05.01 10:33
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3987 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 28.4.2017

Satish Kumar ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Canara Bank and another ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Govind Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that since respondent-bank has issued the appointment letter to the petitioner, therefore, the present writ petition has become infructuous and now he wants to withdraw the present writ petition. He has produced the photocopy of the appointment letter in Court today, which is taken on record.

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn as having rendered infructuous.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

28.4.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : No

Whether Reportable : No