

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3984 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 1.3.2017

Harbans Singh and others Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, who are ex-servicemen and retired from civil service between year 2005 to year 2010, claim the benefit of 2nd National Emergency towards pensionary benefits. Reliance is placed upon the judgment of a Division Bench of this Court in CWP-17661-2013, titled as Rajinder Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (alongwith connected cases), decided on 13.11.2014 (Annexure-P-6). The petitioners have already made a representation dated 7.12.2016 (Annexure-P-7) to respondent No. 2, but the same has not been decided so far. The learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage, he will be satisfied if respondents are directed to decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioners, in view of law laid down by a Division Bench of this Court in Rajinder Singh's case (supra).

CWP No. 3984 of 2017 (O/M)

In view of the matter, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners dated 7.12.2016 (Annexure-P-7), by passing a speaking order, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.3.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No