

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3955 of 2017
Date of decision:08.11.2017

Rajinder & Co., Proprietorship Firm

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Urvashi Dhugga, Senior Standing Counsel
for respondents No.4 and 5-Revenue.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

1. In this writ petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the notice dated 18.01.2017 (Annexure P-8) issued by respondent No.5-Tax Recovery Officer-I, who had directed the petitioner to deposit the remaining amount of alleged tax.

2. Learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5- Revenue has produced a copy of communication dated 10.10.2017 received from Tax Recovery Officer-I, addressed to her intimating that notice dated 18.01.2017 (Annexure P-8) which has been impugned in the writ petition has been withdrawn and the intimation in this regard has also been sent to the petitioner-assessee. The aforesaid communication is taken on record. Learned counsel submits that in view of the above, the writ petition has

been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

3. Ordered accordingly.
4. However, it is clarified that the petitioner is at liberty to move an application for revival of the writ petition in case something survives therein.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

November 08, 2017
savita

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No