

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9835-2016

Date of Decision : 20.01.2017

Gurdarshan Singh and another

....Petitioners

versus

Deputy Commissioner and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sunil K.Chaudhary, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

On May 19, 2016, learned counsel for the petitioners had stated that he is ready to settle the matter amicably with respondent No. 2.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that the petitioners have vacated the premises and respondent No. 2 is in possession of the property.

No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners, implying thereby that the undertaking given on May 19, 2016, has been

fulfilled.

The petition is dismissed as having rendered infructuous.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

January 20, 2017
apurva

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No