

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 3902 of 2017

Date of decision: 10.03.2017

Paramjeet Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioners who are serving as Home Guards with the State of Punjab. It is pleaded that they are fit and able bodied persons and capable of performing their duties for the next two years. They seek the benefit of policy as framed by the State Government whereby extension in service has been granted to government employees. The prayer made is that the said benefit should also be granted to them.

I find that the instant writ petition has been filed without approaching the government for necessary relief and, therefore, a writ of mandamus would not be maintainable at the present stage.

Faced with this, Mr. Phoolka, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners prays that the instant writ petition be treated as a representation and case of the petitioners should be decided expeditiously as possible.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Sidhu, learned Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court, is directed to accept notice on behalf of the respondents. Let three copies of the petition be served upon him during the course of the day.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and in view of the limited prayer made the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to treat this writ petition as a representation and decide the claim of the petitioner, preferably, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

10.03.2017

Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes.
No.