

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9808 of 2016.

Date of Decision:-18.05.2017.

E/A.S.I. Surinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. A.S. Tewatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has questioned the validity of initiation of inquiry dated 5.4.2016 (Annexure P-3) on the score that criminal proceedings under Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act is pending. Supreme Court time and again held that parallel proceedings can be initiated. Moreover, petitioner has not made out a case so as to set aside the initiation of inquiry on the ground of *mala fide*, incompetent authority and vagueness of the charge.

Therefore, petition stands rejected.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

May 18, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.