

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.382 of 2017

Date of Decision.13.01.2017

M/s Indian Oil Corporation Limited

.....Petitioner

Vs

National Highways Authority of India and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the grievance of the petitioner-Corporation was not being redressed by the respondent authorities, it finally approached respondent No.2 by way of legal notice contained in Annexure P-8, but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case the Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-competent authority, L.A.C. National Highways Authority of India, Rajpura, District Patiala-respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide its legal notice, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-competent authority, L.A.C. National Highways Authority of India, Rajpura, District Patiala-respondent No.2 to consider the legal notice (Annexure P-8) and decide the same as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is further directed that if, after due consideration of the

matter, respondent No.2 comes to the conclusion that the petitioner is

entitled for the amount being sought to be released, the same shall be released in favour of the petitioner, without any further loss of time.

With the above observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

January 13, 2017
Pankaj*

Whether reasoned/speaking Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No