

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3796 of 2017
Date of Decision: 04.08.2017**

Balkar Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This matter pertains to the appointment of Lambardar. Respondent No.6 was appointed as Lambardar by the Collector and his choice was approved by the subsequent revenue authorities till the Financial Commissioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there was a criminal case pending against respondent No.6 but he has been fair enough with the Court in making the statement that in the said case respondent No.6 has been acquitted. The second argument is that respondent No.6 himself has mentioned in his application that he is 7th class pass but the evidence brought on record by respondent No.6 shows that he is 8th class pass.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after examining the available record, am of the considered opinion that insofar as the antecedents of respondent No.6 are concerned, the same are clear because now he has been acquitted and secondly the Court is concerned with the document and not the statement of respondent No.6 because the person may tell lies but the document would not. Since respondent No.6

is the choice of the Collector and all the subsequent revenue authorities, therefore, there is hardly any reason for this Court to interfere in this petition and as such the same is hereby dismissed.

04.08.2017

Vivek

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*