

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3791 of 2017

Date of Decision.27.02.2017

Satish Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs

Financial Commissioner, Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. G.S. Nagra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner is aggrieved of the impugned order whereby the respondent Ranjit Singh has been appointed as Lambardar.

The contention of Mr. Nagra is that the petitioner is 10th pass, aged 45 years at the time of filing of application, his father was a Lambardar and holding 13 marlas of gair mumkin land. On the contrary, the private respondent, no doubt, is having 11 acres of land and is younger than the petitioner, much less, more qualified but all other parameters i.e law of inheritance had to be taken care of by the authorities. These aspects have not been taken into consideration, thus, there is illegality and perversity.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, appraised the paper book and of the view that the law of inheritance is no longer in vogue in view of the amendment caused by the State. The fact remains that the private respondent is more educated i.e. he is graduate and owns more land holding viz-a-viz the petitioner, much less, recommended by the SDM. The recommendation of the SDM and approved by the Collector cannot be

such ground is made out.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

February 27, 2017
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No