

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 3735 of 2017
Date of Decision: 27.02.2017**

Sumer Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. S. K. Daaria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for regularization of his services.

It is contended that the petitioner has been working as a daily wager since 1991 and his name has been ignored for regularization while recommending the persons who joined later than him. In this regard, a legal notice dated 04.05.2016 (Annexure P-5) has already been served upon respondents No. 2 and 3 which has not been decided to date.

At the time of hearing, counsel for the petitioner has made a limited prayer that a direction to the respondents may be issued to decide the claim of the petitioner as set up in the aforesaid legal notice as expeditiously as possible.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents-authorities to decide the aforesaid legal notice by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

February 27, 2017

Ansari

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*