

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3711 of 2017

Date of Decision: September 13, 2017

Naresh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Vaibhav Gupta, Advocate, for respondent no.3.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that as a matter of fact all those candidates who could not appear for scrutiny of documents earlier are to be given a chance by the respondent-Commission for such scrutiny, provided the result of the selection process concerned has not been finally declared, which in the present case, i.e. for the post of TGT (English) (Category II), has not been declared so far.

He has also taken instructions from the Secretary (Legal) of the respondent-Commission, Mr. Rajiv Dudeja, that the result of the aforesaid selection process has not been declared as yet and that the schedule for scrutiny of documents of those who could not appear for such scrutiny earlier, shall be given in the newspapers.

Holding the respondents bound to the aforesaid statement, this
petition is disposed of accordingly.

September 13, 2017	(Amol	Rattan	Singh)
vcgarg/nitin		Judge	
	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/no	
	Whether reportable:	Yes/no	