

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3665 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 30.03.2017

Anil Sandhu and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohanta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.5.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no cause of action survives in the present writ petition and the same has been rendered infructuous.

In view above statement, present writ petition is ordered to be dismissed as infructuous.

30.03.2017

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No