

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3662 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 23, 2017**

Smt. Daljit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Water Resources Management and Development and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vinod K. Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner claims that her husband died in harness on 03.10.2012. The amount of gratuity and leave encashment has been paid late to her. However, the interest on the delayed payment of gratuity and leave encashment has not been paid. She has already issued legal notice dated 21.12.2016 (Annexure P-6).

The short prayer of the petitioner, at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to consider and decide her legal notice dated 21.12.2016 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the legal notice of the petitioner dated 21.12.2016 (Annexure P-6) and decide the same within two months

from the date of receipt of certified copy this order and if it is found that the payments of gratuity and leave encashment were made late, the interest thereon as per government instructions be paid to her forthwith.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 23, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No