

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CWP No.3654 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 23, 2017**

Seema Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Jakhal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the husband of the petitioner died in harness on 01.02.2014. Despite the several representations, the retiral benefits have not been released to her.

The short prayer of the petitioner at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide her representation dated 17.08.2016 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 17.08.2016 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy this order and if there is no legal impediment in releasing the retiral benefits, the same be released to the petitioner along with interest as per government instructions otherwise pass a speaking order, denying the retiral benefits

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 23, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

No

Whether Reportable:

No