

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3637 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision : February 23, 2017**

**Harnek Singh**

**..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:-** Mr. Harnek Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Petitioner challenges the impugned order dated 16.03.2010 (Annexure P-2), vide which the recovery of ₹43,069/- has been ordered from his pensionary benefits. It is stated that the recovery has already been effected in installments.

The present petition has been filed after little less than seven years of the passing of the impugned order. Therefore, the present petition is patently barred by delay and laches and is, accordingly, dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**February 23, 2017**

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned                      Yes

Whether Reportable:                                      No