

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 3592 of 2017

Date of decision: 22.02.2017

Sehdev Giri & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners herein who were appointed as Masters/Lecturers in different subjects with the respondent-State, are working on the post of Principal and have more than 7 years experience as working against the said post. They seek benefit for being appointed as Principal on account of the fact that they have already gained experience while working on the said post. The petitioners herein seek benefit as has been given to similarly situated candidates in ***CWP No. 6867 of 2014*** decided on 23.01.2017. The petitioners herein have already approached the department concerned for redressal of grievance but to date the same has not been decided.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners would be satisfied in case their Legal Notice is decided expeditiously.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners

In view of the limited prayer made, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the

petitioners to file a fresh representation within a period of two weeks along with the certified copy of this order. On receipt of the said representation, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the same by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months thereafter.

22.02.2017

Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes.
No.