

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3591 of 2017
Date of Decision.22.02.2017**

Kamla DeviPetitioner

Vs

State of Haryana through Collector and anotherRespondents

Present: Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied if a direction is issued to the 2nd respondent-SDM-cum-Prescribed Authority under the Haryana Ceiling of Land Holding Act, 1972 to decide the petition dated 23.02.2016 (Annexure P-1) as expeditiously as possible.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct the 2nd respondent-SDM-cum-Prescribed Authority under the Haryana Ceiling of Land Holding Act, 1972 to decide the petition dated 23.02.2016 (Annexure P-1) within a period four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and shall pass a speaking order thereon in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

February 22, 2017
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned order Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No