

**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CWP No.3578 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 23, 2017**

A.K. Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

PEC University of Technology and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ashok Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The claim of the petitioner is for releasing interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits of the wife of the petitioner, who expired on 05.03.2014. The petitioner has already issued legal notice dated 15.07.2016 (Annexure P-6) followed by reminder dated 14.11.2016 (Anenxure P-7).

The short prayer of the petitioner, at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to consider and decide his legal notice dated 15.07.2016 (Annexure P-6) and the reminder dated 14.11.2016 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the legal notice of the petitioner dated 15.07.2016 (Annexure P-6) and the reminder dated 14.11.2016 (Annexure P-7) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy this order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 23, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No