

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

**Date of decision :06.12.2017
CWP-3563-2017**

1.

Harpal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

2.

CWP-9999-2017

Leena Mongia

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

3.

CWP-6128-2017

Parveen

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

4.

CWP-6143-2017

Seema Garg and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Vishal Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-9999-2017.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

Mr.Sajjan Singh, Advocate
for the applicants in CM-17151-CWP-2017 in CWP-3563-
2017.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State has produced in Court today a notice issued by the respondent Haryana Staff Selection Commission, dated 12.11.2017, stated to have been uploaded on the website of the Commission, stating therein that in view of the orders passed in different writ petitions, “the Commission has decided that Scrutiny of Documents for various posts of PGTs and TGTs whose result has not been finalized, would be conducted again. All absentee candidates and candidates who have been treated ineligible on grounds decided in above mentioned writ petitions will be given a chance for scrutiny of documents. It is important to note that after the Scrutiny of Documents if a candidate is found eligible it will not confer any right of interview and candidates only falling within twice the number of vacancies shall be called for interview. However, those candidates who have already been found eligible and interviewed need not come for scrutiny of documents to be conducted again.”

That being so and the prayer in the petitions being that the petitioners be allowed to submit their documents for scrutiny as part of the selection process for appointments to the posts of PGT Mathematics, these petitions have been rendered infructuous and are disposed of as such, learned counsel for the State submitting that the selection process is still not complete and therefore the petitioner would be 'covered by the aforesaid notice'.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

December 06, 2017.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No