IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.3561 of 2017.
Date of Decision: February 23, 2017

Building Inspector Welfare Association Haryana, Rohtak .....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others .....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

***

Present: Mr.Aman Pal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is an Association of Building Inspectors working in various municipalities of the Haryana State. Its grievance is against the provisions of the 'Haryana Municipal Services (Integration, Recruitment and Conditions of Services) Rules, 2010' (P-1) and 'Haryana Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2016' (P-2) whereunder the post of Junior Engineer (Civil) has been shown superior in hierarchy over the post of Building Inspector. Under these rules, the post of Building Inspector has been included in the feeder cadre for promotion to the post of Junior Engineer (Civil) and grade-pay of Junior Engineer has been made higher than that of Building Inspector.

The claim of the petitioner-Association is that prior to formulation of 2010 Rules, the Building Inspectors and Junior Engineers were being treated at par for all intents and purposes but the status and rank of Junior Engineer (Civil) was upgraded abruptly. It is further averred that the petitioner-Association took up the matter with the State Government and the Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana, in his comments dated 28.12.2015 sent to the Secretary, Pay Anomalies Commission (P-10)

Mohinder Kumar Kamboj
2017.02.28 15:59
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

acknowledges the anomalous situation and recommended to restore the parity between Building Inspectors and Junior Engineers (Civil). No decision, however, on these recommendations is said to have been taken though the petitioner-Association again represented to the State Government vide representation dated 06.10.2016 (P-11).

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the averments made in the writ petition that the matter is under consideration before the State Government and no final decision has been taken so far, we dispose of this writ petition without expressing any views on merits of petitioner's claim, with a direction to the Pay Anomalies Commission and/or the Competent Authority in the State Government to take a final decision in respect of the petitioner's claim referred to above. Let the needful be done within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

February 23, 2017
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Mohinder Kumar Kamboj
2017.02.28 15:59
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh