

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3560 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 22.2.2017

Satpal

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner retired on 31.5.2016 from the service of respondent No. 4. The claim of the petitioner is for release of retiral benefits in the shape of leave encashment, DCRG, Group Insurance Scheme alongwith interest. Further prayer is for release of pay for the suspension period with effect from 21.5.2015 to 17.7.2015, refund the security, payment of LTC alongwith interest. Further prayer is to grant promotion to the petitioner from the date his junior Satish Kumar was promoted. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has already served a legal notice dated 10.10.2016 (Annexure-P-7) upon respondents, but to no avail. At this stage, he will be satisfied if respondents are directed to decide the said legal notice by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

CWP No. 3560 of 2017 (O/M)

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 10.10.2016 (Annexure-P-7), within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, by passing a speaking order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

22.2.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No