

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3551 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 23, 2017**

Ashok Kumar and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Punjab Water Resources Management and Development and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vinod Kumar Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the present petition qua petitioner Nos.2 and 3, namely, Chaman Lal and Roshan Lal. Therefore, the present petition qua petitioner Nos.2 and 3 stands dismissed as withdrawn.

The claim of the petitioner is for releasing interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has already issued legal notice dated 21.12.2016 (Annexure P-4) and he would be satisfied if the respondents are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice in accordance with law.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the legal notice of the petitioner dated

21.12.2016 (Annexure P-4) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy this order.

February 23, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Whether Reportable:

(KULDIP SINGH)

JUDGE

No

No