

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.3456 of 2017
Date of Decision: February 22, 2017

Rajwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Harchand Singh Batth, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved of the impugned orders, whereby land measuring 14K-6M, has been partitioned between the two co-sharers, i.e., the petitioner and respondent No.4.

Mr.Harchand Singh Batth, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been given lesser area than that of the private respondent as he has been given 7K-2M land, whereas the respondent has been given land measuring 7K-4M, thus, there is difference of 2 marla.

This fact is not being supported by any of the khatoni paimaish or the revenue record. Even otherwise, the area, where the land is situated, is almost close to the border, thus, the value of the land has also not been brought on record to show that with the alleged determination of the share or partition, financial loss or prejudice has been caused to the parties. The findings are based upon preponderance of the facts and documentary evidence.

I do not intend to differ with the findings. Resultantly, the writ
petition stands dismissed.

February 22 , 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No