

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.342 of 2017.

Date of Decision: January 13, 2017

Hukam Singh Saini

.....Petitioner

versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.G.S.Gandhi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner's case is that he is co-owner in land measuring 7 kanal 10 biswa situated within the revenue estate of Gurgaon and fully described in para No.2 of the writ petition. The said land was acquired by State of Haryana vide Award No.17 dated 06.01.1986 for the development of Sector 12-A, Urban Estate, Gurgaon. The petitioner, on this premise, claims that he being an 'oustee' as per the Oustees Policy, is entitled to allotment of a plot measuring one kanal. It is alleged that a public notice was issued on 28.06.2015 for allotment of plots to the oustees, in response to which the petitioner applied on 14.07.2015 alongwith a demand draft of Rs.50,000/-. Thereafter he also sent reminder(s) to apprise the authorities but no draw of lots has been held.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the nature of relief sought by him, coupled with the fact that after inviting applications the authorities have not held any draw of lots, we dispose of