

133 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3386 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20th February, 2017

Ekta and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vishal Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners claim that vide order dated 16.10.2015 passed in LPA No.1064 of 2015 (O&M) titled as “*State of Punjab and another Vs. Kulwinder Kaur and others*”, decided on 16.10.2015 (Annexure P-2), a Division Bench of this Court while considering the benefit of four questions of Punjab State Teachers Eligibility Test (PSTET-II) on the basis of the report dated 12.10.2015, wherein, the answer keys have been found to be incorrect, ordered the benefit of the four marks to be given to the candidates. The petitioners herein claim that the issue in their case is similar as in the said case.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of without issuing any notice to the respondents. The respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of the judgment delivered in LPA No. 1064 of 2015(O&M) titled as “*State of Punjab and another Vs. Kulwinder Kaur and others*”, decided on 16.10.2015 (Annexure P-2) and decide whether the case of the petitioners is on the similar footing and if so, same benefit shall be extended to the petitioners also. In case, it is found that the petitioners are not entitled to said benefit, a speaking order shall be passed.

Disposed of.

20th February, 2017

hemlata

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No