

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CWP No. 3280 of 2017

Chandan Kumar & Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Another

...Respondents

2. CWP No. 4858 of 2017 (O&M)

Sarina Rani & Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Another

...Respondents

Date of Decision: 27.04.2017

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Lavanya Paul, AAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This common order shall dispose of above noted two writ petitions as the issues involved therein are identical.

These writ petitions have been filed seeking benefit of four additional marks that have been given to similarly situated candidates who had participated in Punjab State Teachers Eligibility Test (Paper-II) conducted in July, 2011.

It is contended that an Expert Committee was constituted and the Committee, in its report dated 12.10.2015, came to the conclusion that the answers to Question Nos. 12, 13, 26 and 81 of Booklet Set 'B' are wrong. It was further decided that four additional marks would be given to

the candidates who participated in the said test.

Counsel for the respondents-State, on instructions from Ms. Damanjit Kaur, Legal Assistant, SCERT, submits that the matter is under consideration and a decision would be taken regarding grant of four additional marks to the petitioners herein within a period of two weeks.

In view of the assurance given by the learned State counsel, these writ petitions are disposed of. Let the matter pertaining to grant of four additional marks to the petitioners herein, as claimed on the basis of the opinion of the Expert Committee, be considered and a decision taken, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two weeks from today and the same be communicated to the petitioners immediately thereafter.

April 27, 2017

Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No