

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(117)

**CWP-9171-2016 (O&M)
Decided on: January 17, 2017.**

Giriraj Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Vishal Kashyap, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mohnish Sharma, Advocate,
for respondents No. 3 and 4.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

For the work executed by the petitioners for the Municipal Corporation, Faridabad, the liability was not discharged. Vide order dated 26.10.2016, during pendency of the present petition, payment to the Contractors who had been allotted the work after execution of the work by the petitioners, was ordered to be not released.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 3 and 4 has stated that the funds now being available with the Corporation, the liability qua the petitioners has been discharged.

Miscellaneous applications have been filed by respondents No. 3 and 4 showing that the liability of the said respondents stands discharged by making payment to 44 claimants/petitioners.

The petitioners claim that their grievance has been satisfied except for their claim for the interest on delayed payment made.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that date of hearing of the petition be preponed from 30.01.2017 for today and he may be permitted to withdraw the petition without prejudice to the right of the petitioners to claim interest on delayed payments in accordance with law.

The miscellaneous applications are disposed of. The date of hearing in the main petition is preoned from 31.01.2017 for today.

This petition is disposed of as having rendered infructuous without prejudice to the right of the petitioners to avail alternative remedy on account of any right accrued for delayed payments.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

January 17, 2017
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No