

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3233 of 2017

Date of Decision: 20.02.2017

Surinder Pal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar Kalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

I find no error in the impugned order dated January 20, 2017 denying to the petitioner, an Ex-Serviceman, on contract, working in Ex-Servicemen Contributory Health Scheme, extension beyond the age specified for the category.

The petitioner is a Dental Hygienist. His representation dated June 28, 2016 has been rejected by the impugned order. Extension beyond the superannuation age cannot be claimed as a matter of right. As a matter of fact, using the expression superannuation in a case of a contractual employee governed by contract and not by tenure co-terminus with retirement is a misconception.

No merit.

Dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

20.02.2017

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No