

**233 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.9146 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 30, 2017**

Sham Lal and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. B.S. Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vandana Malhotra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.3.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Written statement filed on behalf of respondent No.3 in the Court today, is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

In this case, the controversy that survives for consideration is that after the retirement of the petitioner, retiral benefits were released with delay. Therefore, as per Government Instructions, the petitioner is entitled to interest on the delayed payment.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to pay interest @ 9% per annum on the delayed payment of retiral benefits to the petitioner starting three months from the date of retirement till the date of payment.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 30, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No