

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CWP 3087 of 2017

Date of Decision: February 17, 2017

M/s Brij Lal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/ 227 of the Constitution of India seeks a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to make the payment of the amount due for the work of reconstruction of Teona Distributary, executed by it.

In this context, the petitioner had sent a representation, annexure P-2, to Executive Engineer, Bathinda Canal Division, Bathinda, dated December 5, 2016.

It has been contended by counsel for the petitioner that the said representation has not yet been considered.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Punjab.

On the asking of the Court, Ms.Anu Pal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice. Copy given.

I deem it appropriate in the interest of justice to dispose of this petition with a direction to the Executive Engineer, Bathinda Canal Division, Bathinda, to consider the claim of the petitioner as depicted in representation dated December 5, 2016 and pass a speaking order within a period of two months after the receipt of a certified copy of the order. Ordered accordingly.

It will be the duty of counsel for the petitioner to communicate the order to Executive Engineer, Bathinda Canal Division, Bathinda. If the representation is considered favourably and the petitioner is held entitled to any amount, the same may be released expeditiously after the decision of the claim of the petitioner.

February 17, 2017
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/ No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.