

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3039 of 2017 and other connected cases
Date of Decision: 24.10.2017**

Bhoora Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner/s in CWP Nos.3039 to 3045
(except CWP No.3044 of 2017), 6282, 9501, 9502, 9819 and
9820 of 2017

Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner/s
in CWP Nos.4152, 4169, 4199 and 4208 of 2017

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for the respondents.

GURMEET SINGH SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

The present order shall dispose of 15 writ petitions i.e. CWP
Nos.3039 to 3045 (except CWP No.3044 of 2017), 6282, 9501, 9502, 9819,
9820, 4152, 4169, 4199 and 4208 of 2017.

Counsel for the respondents has stated that in all cases
appointment orders have been issued, as Assistant Linemen.

Accordingly, the writ petitions are disposed of as having

become infructuous.

The issue of seniority part from the date of similarly situated ones who are appointed is however left open since some of the candidates who have been given offer of appointment are on the waiting list for example Kuldeep Bhatia in CWP No.9502 of 2017, therefore, the said decision will have to be taken by the respondents. This would be further clear from the defence taken in CWP No.3041 of 2017 'Jaideep Vs. UHBVNL and another'. The petitioners can thus submit representations to the respondents who shall decide the same within three months from the date of receipt of the requests.

24.10.2017

ashok

[GURMEET SINGH SANDHAWALIA]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes

/

No

Whether reportable

:

Yes

/

No