

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3020 of 2017

Date of Decision:-17.02.2017.

Seema

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

1.) In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the validity of the order dated 13.3.2014 (P-8) by which her claim for the post of Computer Statistical Clerk has been rejected.

2.) The petitioner was a candidate for recruitment to the post of Computer Statistical Clerk. Her candidature was not considered. Consequently, she has approached this Court seeking a direction to consider her candidature for selection and appointment to the post of Computer Statistical Clerk. Pursuant to the directions of this Court passed in **CWP No.12827 of 2009** and other connected cases, the respondents passed a speaking order. The respondents have taken note of the certificates furnished by the petitioner in respect of one year Diploma in Computer Application from a recognized University/Institution which is one of the requisite qualification prescribed for the post of Computer Statistical Clerk.

The concerned respondent has stated as under:-

“The educational certificates received in the office of respondent no.3 alongwith the petitioner's application form were scrutinized and found that she had obtained certificate of partition and certificate of proficiency in computer from July 2003 to March 2004 and from April, 2004 to March 2005 respectively. These certificates of the petitioner cannot be treated equivalent to the one year diploma in Computer application as she has done these course during her regular study of Class XI and XII. One year diploma in computer application was one of the essential qualifications for the post of computer/Statistical Clerk, which the petitioner does not possess. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for appointment to the post of Computer/Statistical Clerk.”

3.) Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, the petitioner has not made out a case so as to interfere with the order dated 13.3.2014. Since petitioner do not meet requisite qualification for post of Computer Statistical Clerk. Moreover, there is delay and laches on the part of the petitioner in questioning the order dated 13.3.2014. Even on the count of delay and laches, the petition is liable to be rejected.

4.) Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

February 17, 2017.

sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.