

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP 3019 of 2017

Date of Decision: February 17, 2017

Joga Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

The District Secondary Education Department, Hoshiarpur th. its Director

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

**Present:- Mr.Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner through instant petition seeks a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to provide complete and adequate information as sought for by the petitioner under Right to Information Act, vide letter annexure P-3.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and I am of the opinion that in view of inaction on part of any Information Officer, the remedy lies under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, for short 'the Act', being an aggrieved person. An appeal under Section 19 (1) of the Act can be filed upon expiry of the period specified.

Since the petitioner has not availed the alternative remedy, this petition is disposed of as not maintainable with liberty to the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy provided under law within a period of 30 days.

February 17, 2017
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/ No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.