

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.8714 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:24.10.2017**

Satpal Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Petitioner has challenged the validity of the proposed order of transfer from 51st Battalion to 217 Battalion on the score that petitioner's wife is suffering from "IMMUNE THEEMPOCYFORENE" for which she is taking treatment and his personal attention is required.

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the petitioner has completed four years of service in 51st Battalion with reference to standing order No.07/2011. Therefore, petitioner is liable to be transferred. By virtue of order dated 6.5.2016, petitioner is continuing even to this day and it is more than a year, interim order is in favour of the petitioner.

In view of the standing order as well as the fact that petitioner has rendered more than 4 years of service in 51st Battalion, he is liable for transfer. Therefore, no interference is called for in respect of proposed transfer order.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

If the respondents intend to transfer the petitioner from 51st

battalion, they are at liberty to pass fresh order of transferring petitioner to any other place other than 217 Battalion CRPF also.

24.10.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No