

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.8679 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 14.12.2017

M/s Amrit Duraparts Pvt. Ltd. and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State Bank of Patiala and another

... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurvinder Singh Gill

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Suri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Kalair, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Bhatia, Advocate for the respondents.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

On the last date of hearing, last opportunity was granted to
comply with the order dated 26.4.2017, which reads as under:-

*“Learned counsel for the respondents has referred to the
affidavit dated 15.03.2017 wherein it has been stated that the
total investment in the machinery is ₹14,15,21,262.01.*

*However, this fact has been controverted by learned
counsel for the petitioners, who prays for time to file the
counter-affidavit.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioners shall give the value
of the machinery on the date of demand notice as furnished
with the District Industries Centre. Affidavit be filed within two
weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.*

Adjourned to 18.5.2017 as prayed.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that despite his communication to the petitioners about the order passed, none has contacted him to comply with the order.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

14.12.2017
pankaj

Whether speaking /reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No