

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 125

Civil Writ Petition No.29739 of 2017
DECIDED ON: December 22, 2017

SIMRANJEET KAUR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant family pension along with interest @ 18% per annum on account of death of her husband-Kuldip Singh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a representation was made to the respondents on August 11, 2017 (P-8) but till date neither any reply to the said representation has been received nor any final order has been passed by the concerned Department. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents No.2 & 3 to decide the aforesaid representation within some specified period.

Civil Writ Petition No.29739 of 2017

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her representation dated August 11, 2017 (P-8) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.
4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

December 22, 2017
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No