

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.29735 of 2017
Date of Decision: 22.12.2017

Parveen Singla and another

. . . . Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

The petitioners have challenged the proceedings dated 16.11.2017 by which the Appellate Court (Additional District and Sessions Judge, Karnal) has transferred the civil appeals preferred against the petitioners under Section 96 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908 [for short 'the CPC'] in the Civil Court at Karnal to the Wakf Tribunal, Rohtak.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the present case is covered by the decision of this Court rendered in *CWP No.19067 of 2017* titled as "*Randhir Singh through His son Vijay Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others*" decided on 14.12.2017.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and after perusal of the record, much less the decision of this Court rendered in the case of *Randhir Singh through His son Vijay Singh (Supra)* and the litigation of the petitioners, am of the considered opinion that the present case is not covered by the decision of this Court rendered in the case of *Randhir Singh through*

His son Vijay Singh (Supra) and hence, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

22.12.2017

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No