

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 29677 of 2017
Date of Decision: 22.12.2017**

Chander Parkash and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

In respect of the grievance of the petitioners, they are stated to have got issued legal notices to the respondents, copies of which have been annexed as Annexures P-8 and P-9 with the petition, which are stated to have still not been decided (as contended).

Without making any comment on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to decide the aforesaid legal notices issued by the petitioners, by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed in the present petitioners' case, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any); however, if only a short order has been passed earlier, a detailed speaking order shall be now passed within the aforesaid period.

December 22, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.