

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.85 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.02.2017.**

Pakhar Singh and others

..... Petitioners.

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.S. SARON
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Deepak Thapar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Kanwar, Advocate,
for respondent No. 1 - Union of India.

Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,
for respondents No. 2 to 4.

S.S. SARON, J.

The petitioners - Pakhar Singh and others seek quashing of the notification dated 23.09.1914 and 20.11.1950 issued under Section 3 of the Punjab Land Preservation Act, 1900 ('PLP Act' - for short) as these, it is alleged, are against the provisions of the Punjab Land Preservation (Chos) (Amendment) Act, 1942 (XI of 1942) and also vague, non-descriptive and cannot be made applicable in view of the PLP Act, as amended from time to time. A further prayer has been made to quash the notification dated 03.02.2003 issued under Section 4 of the PLP Act relying upon un-amended Section 3 notification dated 09.06.1961 which is non-existent and imaginary as well as notification dated 20.01.2004 issued under Section 5 of the PLP Act, which is relying on the notification dated 23.09.1914 which vaguely talks of covering a part of village Karoran as well as relying on the un-amended Section 3 notification dated 09.06.1961.

For the reasons recorded in the order of even date passed in Gram Panchayat Majrian v. Union of India and others, CWP No.14723 of 2015, the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms.

(S.S. SARON)
JUDGE

08.02.2017
Ramesh

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Note: 1. Whether reasoned/speaking : No
2. Whether reportable : No