

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2961 of 2017
Date of Decision: 16.02.2017**

Kamal Kant

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to hold interview for the post of Supervisor and Pujari.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had applied for appointment to the post of Supervisor and Pujari, pursuant to an advertisement dated 01.09.2012 (Annexure P-1). Since the Department did not take any action on the said application, the petitioner approached the Department seeking information as to whether any appointment had been offered/made under the said advertisement. Since the said application/representation has not been decided to date, the petitioner has approached this Court with a limited prayer that the said application/representation should be decided as expeditiously as possible.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of

with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the application/representation of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of the receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

February 16, 2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No