

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 29447 of 2017

Date of Decision: 21.12.2017

MEHBOOB AKHTAR

..... Petitioner

V/s.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.

Present: Mr. Amardeep Singh Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved by the letter dated 27.10.2016. It is averred in the petition that the petitioner had obtained a new electricity supply connection from the respondent-department vide meter No. GA65/918. On 27.10.2016, respondent department sent a notice to the petitioner to deposit arrears of ₹17,239/- qua meter No. GA65/426 which belongs to Gurpiar Singh. When respondent-department threatened the petitioner to deposit the aforesaid arrears, the petitioner submitted the reply/application dated 14.12.2017 mentioning therein that the recovery is in respect of the meter No. GA65/426 which belongs to Gurpiar Singh and not to the petitioner. Thereafter, no action has been taken by the respondents on the aforesaid application of the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and after examining the available record, I am of the considered opinion that since the matter has already been brought to the notice of the respondents by way of reply/application dated 14.12.2017 and the respondent-department has not passed any order, therefore, at this stage, the present petition is premature and liable to be dismissed as such.

In case any adverse order is passed by the respondent-department against the petitioner despite the fact that petitioner has brought to their notice the fact that meter No. GA65/426 does not belong to the petitioner then he may approach this Court for availing his legal remedy in accordance with law.

With these observations, the petition stands dismissed.

December 21, 2017
Ess Kay

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes	/	No