

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9059 of 2015
Date of Decision: 06.07.2017

Neeraj Vikram

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Doon, A.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as a matter of fact the case of the petitioner is fully covered by the ratio of the judgment of a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No. 3922 of 2011 and other connected matters, decided on 03.10.2016, a copy of which has been produced in Court today.

Learned counsel further points to the fact that in that bunch of petitions, CWP No. 9336 of 2011, titled as **Parveen Sharma and others** vs. **State of Haryana and others**, was also included, which is borne out from a perusal of the said judgment produced in Court today by learned counsel.

In the reply of the respondent-State, it has been submitted that a similar writ petition, i.e. CWP No. 9336 of 2011, is also pending in this Court (the reply having been filed on 11.08.2016_.

With the said petition having been allowed along with the aforesaid

bunch of petitions, this petition is also, therefore, allowed in terms of the said

judgment.

The respondents are directed to comply with the directions given in that petition, in respect of the petitioner too, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

July 06, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.